# Participatory Governance Committee: Self Evaluation Survey

Spring, 2017



### Response Rate

- 30 out of a possible 101 respondents
- Response rate: 30%
- 24 complete responses

### Responses by committee

Staff Development Curriculum committee **Classified Committee** PRIEC College Council Campus Technology Committee Academic Senate Not specified Health and Safety Committee **Facilities Committee** Budget Committee



6

#### Meeting attendance



Q3 - Do you feel you have a clear understanding of the structure and purpose of this committee?



## Understanding of purpose, by committee

Committee	Somewhat	Νο	Yes	Grand Total
Academic Senate	2			2
Budget Committee*	1	1	2	4
Classified Committee			1	1
College Council			2	2
Curriculum Committee			1	1
Facilities Committee*	1		3	4
Health and Safety Committee*	1		3	4
PRIEC	1		1	2
Staff Development			1	1
Technology Committee	1		1	2
Grand Total	7	1	15	23

	Strongly Agree 1	S	omewhat Agree 2	Somewh Disagre 3		Strongly Disagree 4			
Committee	Agendas and Minutes provided in a timely way	Meeting well facilitated and productive	Committee fulfilled its responsibilities this year	Action items were clearly labeled and followed up on	It was clear to me who was responsible	Members were given adequate information to make recommendat ions and decisions	experience	This Committee plays a critical part in the COA governance structure	Average Rating
Academic Senate	2.5	3.5	2.5	3.5	2.5		2.5	1	2.63
Budget Committee	1	1.5	2	1.5	1.5	1.75	2.25	1	1.56
Classified Committee	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00
College Council	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00
Curriculum Committee	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1.13
Facilities Committee	1.25	1.5	2.25	2	1.5	1.75	2	2	1.78
Health and Safety Committee	1.25	1.75	2.25	2	1.5	2	2.25	1.5	1.81
PRIEC	1.5	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.19
Staff Development	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1.25
Technology Committee	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2.00
Average Rating	1.35	1.625	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.55	1.6	1.35	

### Comments

This Committee only meets 1 hour a month but the charge is much larger than the time allotted. I would recommend that you either lessen the charge or increase the time commitment. There is a steep learning curve for new committee members. Perhaps an orientation at the first meeting of the year explaining the major tasks facing the committee for the year and an overview of the funding and budget system of the college in laymen terms.

I can't really answer the questions because I couldn't attend the meetings. The meetings are held when I'm normally triple booked.

There is too much venting that goes on. It seems that it's always the administration's fault for everything; however committee members don't actually do anything.

We really can't get much done. We are too dependent upon the District to do things.

More participation from District staff.

I do not have any recommendations at this time.

The Sheriff Deputy needs to come to the meetings.

There needs to be an administrative chair.

-Consistency -Action plan list -Clearer picture of works completed on ALL projects

The President of the Academic Senate has a huge impact on the tone of meetings. If the right leader isn't in this role, it's difficult for the committee to function effectively.

Staff Development Committee must do other activities besides reviewing travel requests and planning professional development flex days.

Better dissemination and integration of information from other committees, groups, adminstration on campus upon which some Budget Committee recommendations are predicated.

### Comments

#### try to space out committee meetings. They all seem to be t/Th early afternoon

#### Nothing at this time.

makes no sense to try to combine budget committee with institutional effectiveness committee. budget committee only meets one hour/month and one meeting each semester usually falls on a holiday and is cancelled. For a total of 4 hours per semester. whatever the charge of the committee, it has to be something that can be acomplished in 4 hours per semester.

Every committee needs it's own Teddy :)

We need to look at all of the committees and update the charges of the committees and see if there is a way to consolidate some of the committees. Too few people participate...even if they are on the committee.

It would be a good idea to look at all of the committee functions and update and/or consolidate committees. Too few people do all of the work and the rest either don't show up or show up and use the meeting as a means to complain.

I do not have any suggestions at this time.

#### Suggest a committee member from each COA department.

It seems to be the same handful of reliable faculty members on many of the committees. I'd say there's a good 10% (or maybe even more) of faculty that aren't on any committees and continue to slip through the cracks each year. I think it's mainly because they aren't held accountable. There seems to be this "soft rule" that you are supposed to be on two committees as a full timer, but it's not enforced. Some faculty go year to year never joining a committee because nothing happens if you aren't on one. Also, there have been times where colleagues and I have signed up for a committee at flex day and are never contacted. When faculty sign up on those lists, there has got to be a way that someone follows through with contact.

How do committees report out to the campus at large on their processes? Where is information held? It would be great to have a streamlining of information, but it seems a daunting task.